HORATION PROBLEMS

HEATHER WHITE

Sat. 1.5, 14 f.

concha salis **pura** et toga quae defendere frigus, quamvis crassa, queat

In his discussion of these lines, Shackleton Bailey¹ noted that the mes reading, in line 14, is *puri*. He then compared this passage with Ovid, *Fasti* I,338 *puri lucida mica sails*.

Horace may wish to stress that the salt is pure: cf. Virgil, *Georgics* 4,163 *purissima mela*. It is also possible that Horace has employed adjectival *enallage*. Thus the words *concha salts puri*² mean "a clean shell of salt". For other cases of adjectival *enallage* ct. *G.I.F.* LV, 2003, page 261.

Sat. I. 3, 22-27

quidam ait, "ignoras te an ut ignotum dare nobis verba putas?" "egomet mi ignosco" Maenius inquit. stultus et improbus hic mos est dignusque notari. cum tua tu videos oculis mala lippus inunctis, cur in amicorum vitiis tarn cernis acutum quam aut aquila aut serpens Epidaurius?

mos Methner: amor codd.

Shackleton Bailey noted (op. cit., page 279) that "if amor is right, it must be the self-love which makes people oblivious of their own failings." Better sense can be made of the transmitted text if we place a full stop after hic and translate as follows:

Cf. D.R. Shackleton Bailey, Selected Classical Papers, Ann Arbor, The University Of Michigan Press, 1997, page 278 f. I have used Professor Shackleton Bailey's interesting and informative article as the starting-point of my research.

^{2.} Cf. Tibullus I,1,38- puris ... fictilibus ("clean earthenware").

"I pardon myself" said Maenius. This man is stupid and shameless. And his love deserves to be censured."

Note that *que* has been misplaced: cf. Propertius 4,5,21 *Si te Eoa iuvat Nabatharumque aurea ripa*. Of. also Lewis and Short, *A Latin Dictionary*, s.v. *que* VII.

Sat. 1.3, 55 f.

at nos virtutes ipsas invertimus atque sincerum **furimus** vas incrustare

furimus g; fugimus B: cupimus cett.

Shackleton Bailey (op. cit., page 279) discussed the three variant readings which occur in line 56, and proposed that we should perhaps print the alteration incipimus. I would like to suggest that we should print the reading cupimus and understand that the adjective sincerum is proleptic. Horace states that "we want (cupimus) to plaster over (incrustare) a clean (sincerum) vessel (vas)." Cf. Horace, Epistles 1,2, 54 sincerum est nisi vas quodcumque infundis acescit ("unless the vessel is clean whatever you pour in turns sour").

Horace means, in other words, that we want to make a dirty vessel appear to be clean by plastering over it.

Sat. I. 3, 80-85

si quis eum servum...
in cruce suffigat, **Labieno** insanior inter

Labieno Bentley: labeone (-nem B) codd.

The critics have been puzzled by the text of line 82: cf. Shackleton Bailey, *op. cit.*, page 279 f. I would like to suggest that we should print the reading *labeonem* and translate as follows:

"If one were to crucify a blubber-lipped (*labeonem*) slave, who when bidden to take away a dish, has greedily licked up the half-eaten fish and its sauce, now cold, he would be said to be rather insane (*insanior*) amongst sane men (*inter sanos*)."

Cf. Lewis and Short, op. cit., s.v. labeo (1): "One who has large lips, who is blubber-lipped".

Sat. I. 3, 117-122

adsit

regula, peccatis quae poenas irroget aequas, ne scutica dignum horribili sectere flagello (nam ut ferula caedas meritum maiora subire verbera non moror), <hoc> cum dicas, esse pares res furta latrociniis

121 moror hoc: vereor codd.

Shackleton Bailey (op. cit., page 280) commented as follows: "120-121 parenthesin feci, moror hoc (remoror iam Apitz) pro vereor scripsi". I would like to suggest that textual alteration is not necessary. We should translate as follows:

"For I am not afraid (*non vereor*) that you might strike with the rod somebody who deserves to submit to rather greater (*maiora*) blows (*verbera*), since you say that deceit (*furta*)³ is on a par with highway robbery." Cf. H. Rushton Fairclough, *Horace, Satires, Epistles And Ars Poetica* (ed. Loeb, London 1970, reprint), page 43.

Sat. 2.3, 147

medicus multum celer atque fidelis

In this passage Horace describes the actions of a doctor. He calls the doctor *celer* and *fidelis*, i.e. "efficacious and faithful". Note the employment of adjectival *enallage*. The doctor uses remedies which are "efficacious". Cf. Lewis And Short, *A Latin Dictionary*, s.v. *celer* I,1: *remedia*, quickly working, efficacious, Nep. Att. 21,2. For a similar case of adjectival enallage cf. my *Studies in the Text of Propertius*, page 164.

Sat. 2.6. 109

praelibans omne quod affert

praelibans: praelambens v.l.

Shackleton Bailey (op. cit., page 281) commented as follows on the reading praelibans: "Waiting on the country mouse, the town mouse, like a good servant, tastes everything beforehand." I would like to suggest that better sense is provided by the variant reading praelambens. The town mouse is said to wash beforehand, like a conscientious waiter, everything that he brings to his guest. Cf. Lewis And Short, A Latin Dictionary, s.v. praelambo II: "Transf., to wash or touch lightly, to bathe gently". Cf. also Lewis And Short, op. cit., s.v. lambo II: "Transf., of a river, to flow by, to wash, bathe: vel quae loca fabulosus Lambit Hydaspes, washes, Hor. C. I.22.7".

Cf. Lewis and Short, A Latin Dictionary, s.v. furtum II, B: "A secret action, craft, deceit, trick."

Sat. 2.7. 63-65

ilia tamen se

non habitu mutatve loco peccatque **pudice** [cum te formidet mulier neque credat amanti].

Shackleton Bailey (op. cit., page 282) commented as follows: "pudice (pudenter iam Peerlkamp) scripsi: superne codd." I would like to suggest that Horace is alluding to fellatio. The married woman does not want to commit adultery by copulating, and limits herself to fellatio ⁴ rather than full sexual intercourse ⁵. Horace therefore says that she sins (peccatque) above (superne). Cf. Horace, A.P. 4 mulier formosa superne.

Epist. I.1. 4-6

Veianius armis

Herculis ad postern fixis latet abditus agro, ne populum extrema **rediens** exoret harena.

line 6 rediens: totiens codd.

In this passage Horace compares himself to an old gladiator, who has retired and now lives in the country. Shackleton Bailey (op. cit., page 282) argued that we should print the alteration rediens. There is, however, no need for us to alter the mss reading, Horace has employed the historical present. He states that Veianius hid in the country (latet abditus agro) so that he would not have to plead with the crowd again and again from the arena's edge: cf. Fairclough (Loeb edition), page 251. For other examples of the historical present cf. my Studies in the Text of Propertius, page 118.

Epist. 1.2. 51-53

qui cupit aut metuit, iuvat ilium sic domus et res ut lippum pictae tabulae, **fulmenta** podagrum, auriculas citharae collecta sorde dolentis.

52 fulmenta: fomenta codd.

The critics have been puzzled by the text of line 52: cf. Shackleton Bailey, *op. cit.*, page 282. I would like to suggest that better sense can be made of the transmitted text if we understand that *pictae* means "painted women". We should translate as follows:

For fellatio cf. Catullus 59,1 Rufa Rufulum fellat. Fellatio was usually indulged in by prostitutes: cf. G. Giangrande, Mus. Phil. Lond., 4,1981, page 17.

^{5.} Copulating was dangerous in that it might lead to pregnancy.

"A house and possessions please him as much as made-up women (pictae)⁶ a blind man (*lippum*), the consolations (*fomenta*) of a will (*tabulae*) a man with gout (*podagrum*), or citherns ears which suffer with collected matter."

Epist. I. 10. 34-38

cervus equum pugna melior communibus herbis pellebat, donec minor in certamine longo imploravit opes hominis frenumque recepit; sed postquam victor † violens † discessit ab hoste, non equitem dorso, non frenum depulit ore.

victor violens: violens victor v.l.

The reader will note that Shackleton Bailey placed the word *violens* between *cruces*. I would like to point out that perfect sense can be restored to this passage if we print the reading *violens victor* and translate as follows punctuating after *violens*⁷.

"But afterwards he (i.e. the man was violent (*violens*). The victor (i.e. the horse) parted from his enemy. He did not dislodge the rider from his back or the bit from his mouth."

Epist. 2.1. 50-52

Ennius, et sapiens et fortis et alter Homerus, ut critici dicunt, † leviter † curare videtur quo promissa cadant et somnia Pythagorea.

The critics have been puzzled by the meaning of these lines: cf. Shackleton Bailey, *op. cit.*, page 284 f. Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. We should translate as follows:

"Ennius, the wise and valiant, the second Homer, as the critics say, seems to govern (*curare*) easily (*leviter*), whereby (*quo*) his promises and Pythagorean dreams come to pass (*cadant*)."

Ennius said that Homer appeared to him in a dream and informed him that his soul now dwelt in Ennius' body. The theory of the transmigration of souls was taught by Pythagoras. Cf. Lewis And Short, op. cit., s.v. curo II,A: "govern, preside over, command". Horace means that Ennius has a leading position in poetry. Cf. also Lewis And Short, s.v. cado II,D:"come to pass, occur".

^{6.} Gf. Plaut. Poen. 221.

^{7.} Note the ellipse of the verbum substantivum.

Epist. 2.1. 161-163

serus enim Graecis admovit acumina chartis et post Punica bella quietus quaerere coepit quid Sophocles et Thespis et Aeschylus utile ferrent.

Shackleton Bailey (op. cit., page 285) was puzzled by the fact that "admovit has no proper subject. "Textual alteration is, however, not warranted. Serus means here "the late-learner" (i.e. the Romans). Horace is describing how Roman literature was developed, following Greek models. We should translate as follows: "The late-learner (serus)⁸ turned his wit to Qreek writings".

Epist. 2.2. 187-189

scit Genius, natale comes qui temperat astrum, naturae deus humanae † mortalis † in unum quodque caput, vultu mutabilis, albus et ater.

The critics have been puzzled by the meaning of these lines: cf. Shack-leton Bailey, *op. cit.*, page 286. Better sense can be made of the transmitted text if we place a full stop after humanae and translate as follows:

"I am mortal (*mortalis*)⁹ and changing in countenance for each single person, favourable (*albus*) and malevolent (*ater*)."

Horace adds that he will spend what he likes of his own money and he will not care what his heir thinks of him.

Ars 32-35

Aemilium circa Ludum faber unus et unguis exprimet et mollis imitabitur aere capillos, infelix operis summa, quia ponere totum nesdet.

32 unus: imus v.l.

Shackleton Bailey (op. cit., page 286) noted that Horace refers here to an artist who was "unrivalled at sculpting hair and nails ... but a good ensemble was beyond him." I would like to suggest that Horace is referring to the fact that sculptors, in modelling, give the finishing touch with the nail. Cf. Lewis and Short, op. cit., s.v. unguis B,5. We should translate as follows:

Gf. Lewis and Short, A Latin Dictionary, s.v. serus I and Sat. I, 10,21 - o seri studiorum. It will
be noted that serus is a poetic singular: cf. my Studies in the Text of Propertius, page 141.

^{9.} Note the ellipse of the verbum substantivum.

HORATION PROBLEMS 43

"There is a very humble sculptor (faber imus)¹⁰ near the Aemilian School. And his nail (unguis) moulds and will imitate soft hair in bronze."

Ars 319-322

interdum speciosa locis morataque recte fabula nullius veneris sed pondere inerti valdius oblectat populum meliusque moratur quam versus inopes rerum nugaeque canorae.

320 sed pondere inerti: sine pondere et arte codd.

Shackleton Bailey noted (op. cit., page 286) that this passage has troubled the critics. I would like to suggest that Horace means that a play (fabula) which is beautiful due to its subject matter (locis), even though it lacks weight and art, may please an audience more than verses which lack a subject (versus inopes rerum) and sonorous trifles (nugaeque canorae). Cf. Lewis And Short, op. cit., s.v. locus II,A: "A topic of discussion or thought, a matter, subject". Cf. line 311 where Horace states that words will follow once you have mastered the subject – verbaque provisam rem non invita sequentur.

Carm. I. 5, 13-16

me tabula sacer votiva paries indicat uvida suspendisse potenti vestimenta maris **deo**.

Horace states that he has hung up his garments to the god who is master of the sea. I would like to suggest that the poet is alluding to the metaphorical sea of love. Since he has managed to escape from shipwreck on the sea of love, Horace dedicates his clothes to *Amor*: cf. my *Studies in the Text of Propertius*, page 17 f.

Carm. I. 15, 33-36

iracunda diem proferet Ilio matronisque Phrygum classis Achillei; post certas hiemes uret Achaicus ignis † Iliacas † domos.

In his discussion of these lines Shackleton Bailey noted that Renehan

^{10.} Cf. my article entitled "Textual Problems In Horace And Virgil" (Veleia, forthcoming).

44 HEATHER WHITE

"reviving an idea of Postgate's, thinks the unhoration trochaic base in 56 is a learned allusion to Homeric practice, in which "Ίλιος" is usually treated as though it began with a consonant (because of an original digamma)". I would like to point out that metrical abnormality can be avoided in line 36 if we understand that *ignis* is a plural¹¹. In this poem Nereus addresses Paris and states that he is bringing destruction on Troy. We should translate as follows:

"The Sreeks (Achaicus) will burn (uret) your loved ones (ignis), your Trojan family (Iliacos domos)". Cf. Lewis And Short, A Latin Dictionary, s.v. ignis II,2: "Transf., like amores, a beloved object, a flame ... Hor. Epod. 14,13." Cf. also Lewis And Short, s.v. domus II,B: "A household, family, race."

Carm. 2.10, 5-12

auream quisquis mediocritatem diligit, tutus caret obsoleti sordibus tecti, caret invidenda sobrius aula. saepius ventis agitatur ingens pinus et celsae graviore casu decidunt turres feriuntque summos fulgura montis.

Shackleton Bailey noted (op. cit., page 290) that the critics have been puzzled by the text of line 9. I would like to suggest that sense can be made of the transmitted text if we place a full stop after saepius. Horace states that whoever loves the golden mean will "rather often" (saepius) avoid a hall which excites envy (invidenda ... aula),

Carm. 2.20. 17-20

me Colchus et qui dissimulat metum Marsae cohortis Dacus et ultimi noscent Geloni, me † peritus † discet Hiber Rhodanique potor.

Scholars have been puzzled by the meaning of these lines: cf. Shackleton Bailey, *op. cit.*, page 290. I would like to suggest that we should place a full stop after *peritus* and translate as follows:

^{11.} Cf. Lewis And Short, op. cit., s.v. ignis, where it is not understood that ignis is a plural.

HORATION PROBLEMS 45

"The Colchian shall know me, and the Dacian, who pretends to feel no fear of our Marsian cohorts, and the man who is familiar with (peritus) the distant Gelonians (ultimi ... Geloni). The Spaniard shall learn about me and the drinkers of the Rhone."

Cf. Lewis And Short, *op. cit.*, s.v. Geloni: "In sing.: *Gelonus* ... the Gelonian, collect., Verg. G. 3,461". Cf. also Lewis And Short, s.v. *peritus* I (β): "With gen. ... *juris*, Juv. I,128". Cf., moreover, Lucan 9,346 *nautasque loci* *peritos* ("and sailors familiar with the place").

Carm. 3.1. 33-38

contracta pisces aequora sentiunt iactis in altum molibus; hue frequens caementa demittit redemptor. tum famuli dominusque terrae fastidiosus, sed Timor et Minae scandunt eodem quo dominus

36 tum famuli: cum famulis codd.

Shackleton Bailey (*op. cit.*, page 290) suggests textual alteration in line 36. Perfect sense can, however, be made of the transmitted text if we translate lines 34-37 as follows:

"Here the constant (frequens) contractor (redemptor) lets down rubble, together with slaves and the master who disdains the land."

Horace refers here to the practice of building villas projecting into the sea. The contractor is described as "constant" (*frequens*) because he is always undertaking new projects.

Carm 3 6 21-24

motus doceri gaudet Ionicos innupta virgo et fingitur artibus iam nunc et incestos amores de tenero meditatur ungui.

22 innupta: matura codd.

Shackleton Bailey proposed (op. cit., page 291) the alteration innupta instead of the mss reading matura. There is, though, no need for us to alter the transmitted text. Horace is referring to a girl who is sexually mature (matura), and who performs provocative dances: cf. my "Textual Problems In Horace" (Orpheus 26,2005).

Carm. 3.21. 5 f. quocumque lectum nomine Massicum servas

Shakleton Bailey noted (*op. cit.*, page 291) that scholars have been puzzled by the meaning of nomine. Textual alteration is not necessary. Horace addresses a wine-jar and says that it protects "choice Massic wine (*lectum ... Massicum*) due to its reputation (*nomine*)." Cf. G. Giangrande, G.I.F. LVI, 2004, page 316 and my paper entitled "Textual Problems In Horace" (*Orpheus* 26,2005).

Carm. 5.24. 17-20

illic matre carentibus

privignis mulier temperat innocens,
nec dotata regit virum

conjunx nec nitido laedit adultero.

20 laedit: fidit codd.

Scholars have been puzzled by the meaning of *fidit*: cf. Shackleton Bailey, *op. cit.*, page 291. Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. Horace is referring to a woman who confides in a handsome lover (*nitido* ... *adultero*).

Cf. Lewis and Short, op. cit., s.v. fido ...: "to trust, confide, put confidence in, to rely upon a person or thing."